Chicago CoC SWOT Analysis

**S**
- Lived Experience Commission
  - Sophistication
  - Engagement
- HMIS-Lead Agency
  - System utilization
  - User utilization
  - Data quality
- Community’s Commitment to System Transformation
  - Early adopters of Coordinated Entry
  - Pioneering Transition in Place
- Youth Provider Collaboration
  - They have collective alignment
- Experience in Building Collective Alignment
  - Driving change through collective impact to achieve significant progress on veteran homelessness
- Lead Agency’s Institutional Knowledge

**W**
- System/Community Milestones
  - Specific milestones not yet identified (except veterans)
- Decision Making Structure
  - Too many committees without clear leadership and no work plans
  - CoC Board meetings lack strong leadership, focus, and understanding of their role
- Lead Agency Capacity
  - Technical expertise
  - Performance monitoring skills
  - Capacity to build and drive collective alignment
  - Project management and facilitation skills
- Permanent Housing Inventory
  - Limited resources

**O**
- Relationship with CHA
  - There are many vouchers (some unused)
- Chicago’s Political Will
  - History of strong community organizing, when there is a clear issue or initiative to back, the city will rally
- Leveraging the Understanding of Development in the CoC to Build Inventory
- Airbnb Tax
- EHARC Welcoming Center

**T**
- Limited confidence from private funders in the system’s ability to secure investments in housing
- HUD’s perception of Chicago CoC
  - Alignment with federal goals
  - Sending funds back each year
- Expensive housing and development market

**CSH**
The Source for Housing Solutions